Mouse-Human Genomic Sequence Comparisons

Webb Miller Penn State

Outline

- 1. A "pip" (percent identity plot) graphically summarizes a set of local alignments between two sequences.
- 2. Human-mouse alignments sometimes help find genes and regulatory elements.
- 3. PipMaker (http://bio.cse.psu.edu/) compares two genomic sequences.
- 4. Sequences from any two close species can be compared.
- 5. A detailed example.

Visualizing a Long Alignment

- 1. Divide it into segments between successive gaps.
- 2. Represent each segment by a line showing:
 - (a) position in the first sequence vs. position in the second sequence (*dotplot*) or
 - (b) position in the first sequence vs. percent identity (*pip*)



Human	Mouse	Identity
2483-2492	6611-6620	90%
2493-2503	6625-6635	82%
2504-2530	6640-6666	67%









Human ERCC2 region:

7







Computational Exon-Finding

- *Ab initio* gene-finders (GenScan, Grail) can be confused by alternative splicing and nested genes.
- Database searches may miss low-expressing genes and genes expressed in few tissues or developmental stages. Also, some exons may be missed (esp. with ESTs).
- Human-mouse comparisons complement these approaches quite effectively.





Bad News; Good News

- In some cases, because of low gene conservation and/or high background of conservation, coding regions don't stand out in the pip.
- The pip frequently highlights non-coding functional regions, for which we have essentially no computational alternative.





Varying Rate of Conservation

The rate of human-mouse conservation varies widely among different genomic loci. At some, only the protein-coding regions can be reliably aligned. At others, most or all of the non-coding DNA aligns.



Alpha-globin gene cluster



region	aligns	high	G+C	bp	masked	reference
HOXA	99.3	21.3	50.7	93211	15.2	unpublished
TCR	77.8	7.0	44.0	77115	21.0	Koop and Hood 1994
FHIT	58.1	7.6	37.1	331123	42.1	Shiraishi et al. 2001
CFTR	53.2	4.9	34.9	247331	41.3	Ellsworth et al. 2000
BTK	49.6	4.9	41.1	43504	41.0	Oeltjen et al. 1997
SNCA	44.4	1.0	34.6	84504	29.8	Touchman et al. 2001
DIST1	40.9	0.8	55.3	64841	45.7	Flint et al. 2001
MECP2	39.7	5.9	47.8	59670	56.9	Reichwald et al. 2000
CD4	35.6	3.3	51.9	106531	50.8	Ansari-Lari et al. 1998
CECR	21.3	1.8	45.9	368778	52.5	Footz et al. 2001
MYO15	15.4	3.7	56.9	46035	47.7	Liang et al. 1999
ERCC2	11.0	0.0	58.5	15721	61.7	Lamerdin et al. 1996

Network Resources for Genomic Alignments

Name	Http Address		Reference
Alfresco	www.sanger.ac.uk/Software/Alfresco	Р	Jareborg and Durbin 2000
CGAT	inertia.bs.jhmi.edu/roger/CGAT/CGAT.html	Р	Lund <i>et al.</i> 2000
EnteriX	globin.cse.psu.edu/enterix	А	Florea <i>et al.</i> 2000a
GLASS	plover.lcs.mit.edu	S	Batzoglou <i>et al.</i> 2000
Gibbs	www.wadsworth.org/res&res/bioinfo	P,S	Wasserman et al. 2000
Intronerator	www.cse.ucsc.edu/ \sim kent/intronerator	S	Kent and Zahler 2000
LAJ	bio.cse.psu.edu	A,P	Wilson <i>et al.</i> 2001
LAJ	web.uvic.ca/ \sim bioweb/laj.html	А	Wilson <i>et al.</i> 2001
MUMmer	www.tigr.org/softlab	Р	Delcher <i>et al.</i> 1999
PipMaker	bio.cse.psu.edu	S	Schwartz et al. 2000
SynPlot	www.sanger.ac.uk/Users/jgrg/SynPlot	Р	Göttgens <i>et al.</i> 2000
VISTA	www-gsd.lbl.gov/vista	S	Dubchak <i>et al.</i> 2000
WABA	www.cse.ucsc.edu/ \sim kent/xenoAli/index.html	P,S	Kent and Zahler 2000

A = archived alignments; P = programs; S = server

PipMaker Input

- one completed genomic sequence
- a second sequence, perhaps in pieces
- optional positions of interspersed repeats
- optional positions of genes and exons
- optional positions and colors of stripes
- optional hyperlinks to network sites

Schwartz et al., Genome Research 10 (2000), 577-586.

PipMaker Example (see handout)

GenScan predicted 6 genes; Blast search categorized them:

- 3 had characterized mRNAs (blue)
- 2 had weak protein hits (orange)
- 1 had only EST hits (purple)

In addition, there was a cluster of EST hits (green).



Exon

UTR

RNA

MIR

LTR







When is PipMaker Appropriate?

Aligning long DNA sequences (say, between 10 Kb and 10 Mb) from species that diverged, say 30-400 MYA.

Examples: *C. elegans* vs. *C. briggsae* and *E. coli* vs. *Salmonella*.

C. elegans (GenBank annotations from AF039719)







Major Points

- Mouse-human comparisons help find genes, regulatory sites, and other functional regions.
- Rates of evolution vary among genomic locations, affecting what can be seen.
- The approach can be used for sequence pairs at an appropriate evolutionary
- Authors can make a pip available on their Web sites as an "electronic supplement" to a sequence-analysis publication. The pip can be hyperlinked to other network resources.